

Top-quark fragmentation into a Higgs boson with next-to-leading order accuracy

<u>M. Sc. Colomba Brancaccio</u>[†] M.Sc. Terry Generet[†], Prof. Michal Czakon[†], Prof. Michael Krämer[†], Dr. Alexander Mück[†] CRC Annual Meeting, May 27th, 2021

[†]RWTH Aachen University



Goals & Motivations	The fragmentation function	Methods for loop computation	Results 0000000	Conclusions
OUTLINE				

- 1. Goals & Motivations
- 2. The fragmentation function
- 3. Methods for loop computation
- 4. Results
- 5. Conclusions

Goals & Motivations

PHENOMENOLOGICAL APPLICATIONS

WHAT?

Computation of the top-quark fragmentation into a Higgs boson with NLO accuracy.



WHY?



◇ Testing NLO tth production in collinear approximation with the aim of extending the procedure to NNLO tth cross section^{1,2},

◊ Investigating BSM physics^{3,4}.

¹E. Braaten, H. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D, 93.5 (2016): 053014,

- ²S. Dawson, L. Reina, Phys. Rev. D, 57.9 (1998): 5851,
- ³F. Boudjema et al., Phys. Rev. D, 92.1 (2015): 015019,

⁴U. Haisch, G. Polesello, Journal of HEP, 2019(2), 29.

The fragmentation function

Goals & Motivations	The fragmentation function	Methods for loop computation	Results Conclusions
THE FINAL	STATE FACTORIZ	ATION	
proton 1		Proton 2	In the collinear limit: $\hat{s} \gg q^2 \sim m_t^2$ hard scattering and collinear emission factorise.
		W is	Momenta definitions: $z = \frac{n \cdot p_h}{n \cdot p_i},$ here $n^{\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1, 0, 0, 1)$ the light-cone vector in

is the light-cone vector in the Higgs direction.

$$d\hat{\sigma}_{ab\to h+X}(p_a, p_b, p_h) = \sum_i \int_0^1 dz \ d\tilde{\sigma}_{ab\to i+X}(p_a, p_b, p_i; \mu) D_{i\to h}(z; \mu)$$

LHC 'B'

ATLAS



⁵J. Collins, D.E. Soper, Nucl. Phys. B 194.3 (1982): 445-492.





Applying the formula of the previous slide at LO, the fragmentation $D_{t \rightarrow h}$ reads:

$$\begin{split} D_{t \to h} = & \frac{z^{d-3}}{4\pi} \int \frac{d^d p_t}{(2\pi)^d} (2\pi) \delta^+ (p_t^2 - m_t^2) (2\pi) \delta^+ (p_h^+ / z - (p_t + p_h)^+) \frac{y_t^2 \tilde{\mu}^{2\epsilon}}{2N_c} \\ & \times \sum_{spins, colours} Tr[\not\!\!\!/ \frac{\not\!\!\!/ p_t + \not\!\!\!/ p_h + m_t}{(p_t + p_h)^2 - m_t^2} (\not\!\!\!/ p_t + m_t) \frac{\not\!\!\!/ p_t + \not\!\!\!/ p_h + m_t}{(p_t + p_h)^2 - m_t^2}]. \end{split}$$

Goals & Motivations	The fragmentation function	Methods for loop computation	Results 0000000	Conclusions
EXAMPLE: T	HE LO FRAGMEN	TATION FUNCTION		



Using Cutkosky rules⁶, the phase-space becomes a loop integral⁷:

$$\begin{split} \delta(x) &\to \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\frac{1}{x - i\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{x + i\varepsilon} \right) \\ D_{t \to h} &= \frac{z^{d-3}}{4\pi} \int \frac{d^d p_t}{(2\pi)^d} (2\pi) \underbrace{\delta^+ (p_t^2 - m_t^2)}_{\delta^+ (p_t^2 - m_t^2)} (2\pi) \underbrace{\delta^+ (p_h^+ / z - (p_t + p_h)^+)}_{Spins, colours} \frac{y_t^2 \tilde{\mu}^{2\epsilon}}{2N_c} \\ &\times \sum_{spins, colours} Tr[\psi \frac{p_t + p_h + m_t}{(p_t + p_h)^2 - m_t^2} (p_t + m_t) \frac{p_t + p_h + m_t}{(p_t + p_h)^2 - m_t^2}]. \end{split}$$

⁶R. Cutkosky, J.Math.Phys. 1.5 (1960): 429-433,
 ⁷K. Melnikov, A. Mitov, Phys. Rev. D, 70.3 (2004): 034027.



Methods for loop computation

Goals & Motivations	The fragmentation function	Methods for loop computation	Results 0000000	Conclusions

DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS METHOD

- ◊ Reduction to MIs can be performed with the usual techniques^{8,9}:
 - \checkmark 8 master found for virtual corrections,
 - $\checkmark~$ 8 master found for real corrections.
- MI derivatives with respect to each kinematic invariant x_i can be computed by introducing the differential operators:

$$O_{jk} = p_j^{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial p_k^{\mu}} \frac{\partial f(\vec{x}, \epsilon)}{\partial x_i} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{i,jk}(x_i) \frac{\partial f(\vec{x}, \epsilon)}{\partial x_i}.$$

 A system of first order linear differential equations for the MIs can be derived:

$$\partial_{x_i} \vec{f}(\vec{x},\epsilon) = A_{x_i}(\vec{x},\epsilon) \vec{f}(\vec{x},\epsilon).$$

⁸R.N. Lee, arXiv:1310.1145 (2013),

⁹A.V. Smirnov, Comp. Phys. Com. 189 (2015): 182-191.

Goals & Motivations	The fragmentation function	Methods for loop computation	Results 0000000	Conclusions
-				

CANONICAL BASIS APPROACH

◇ It is possible to choose a basis of MIs, the Canonical basis¹⁰, such that: $d\vec{f}(\vec{x},\epsilon) = \epsilon \ dA(\vec{x}) \ \vec{f}(\vec{x},\epsilon),$

with

$$\mathrm{d}A(ec{x})_{ij} = \sum_k c_{ijk} \operatorname{dlog}(\alpha_k(ec{x})).$$

The solution of the differential equations system is:

$$ec{f}(ec{x},\epsilon) = \mathrm{P}\exp\left[\epsilon\int_{\gamma}\mathrm{d} ilde{A}(ec{x}')
ight]ec{f}(ec{x}_{0},\epsilon).$$

Canonical MIs can be expanded in Taylor series around $\epsilon = 0$:

$$\underline{\vec{f}(\vec{x},\epsilon)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^k \vec{f}^{(k)}(\vec{x}) \longmapsto \vec{f}^{(k)}(\vec{x}) = \int_{\gamma} \mathrm{d}\tilde{A}(\vec{x}') \vec{f}^{(k-1)}(\vec{x}') + \vec{f}(\vec{x}_0,\epsilon)$$

¹⁰J.M. Henn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110.25 (2013): 251601.

Results

Goals & Motivations	The fragmentation function	Methods for loop computation	Results 000000	Conclusions

A SEMI-ALGORITHMIC APPROACH TO OBTAIN THE CANONICAL BASIS

- ♦ Generic canonical master: $f_i^{virt} = \epsilon^{n_i} M(m_t, m_h, z) T_i^{virt}$
- Topology definition (here for the virtual corrections):





- ◊ Semi-algorithmic approach:
 - $\checkmark T_i^{virt}$ found by maximizing the symmetries,
 - $\checkmark M(m_t, m_h, z)$ found by applying Magnus transformations ¹¹.

¹¹M. Argeri, et al. Journal of HEP 2014.3 (2014): 82.

Goals & Motivations	The fragmentation function	Methods for loop computation	Results 0000000	Conclusions
CANONICAL	BASIS FOR VIRTU	AL CORRECTIONS		

Canonical form for MIs of the virtual topology: $f^{virt} = \epsilon^2 n \cdot n T^{virt}$ $f_1^{virt} = \epsilon^2 \ n \cdot p_h \ T_1^{virt},$

$$f_{2}^{virt} = \epsilon^{2} m_{h} \sqrt{4m_{t}^{2} - m_{h}^{2}} n \cdot p_{h} T_{2}^{virt},$$

$$f_{3}^{virt} = \epsilon^{3} (n \cdot p_{h})^{2} T_{3}^{virt},$$

$$f_{4}^{virt} = \epsilon^{2} n \cdot p_{h} T_{4}^{virt},$$

$$f_{5}^{virt} = \epsilon^{2} \frac{1-z}{z} (n \cdot p_{h})^{2} T_{6}^{virt},$$

$$f_{7}^{virt} = \epsilon^{2} m_{h} \sqrt{4m_{t}^{2} - m_{h}^{2}} n \cdot p_{h} T_{7}^{virt},$$

$$f_{8}^{virt} = \epsilon^{3} n \cdot p_{h} T_{8}^{virt}.$$

Pre-canonical T_i^{virt} :





Goals & Motivations	The fragmentation function	Methods for loop computation	Results 0000000	Conclusions

CANONICAL MATRIX FOR VIRTUAL CORRECTIONS

 $\diamond~$ For a dlog shape of the canonical matrix, the change of variable

$$\left| m_t^2
ightarrow rac{m_h^2}{4} (- au^2 + 1)
ight|$$
 is performed.

◊ The canonical matrix reads:

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{d} A_{\tau} = & M_1 \operatorname{dlog}\left(\tau\right) + M_2 \operatorname{dlog}\left(1 - \tau\right) + M_3 \operatorname{dlog}\left(1 + \tau\right) \\ &+ M_4 \operatorname{dlog}\left(2 - z \left(1 - \tau\right)\right) + M_5 \operatorname{dlog}\left(-2 + z \left(1 + \tau\right)\right) \\ &+ M_6 \operatorname{dlog}\left(-4 + z \left(3 + \tau^2\right)\right), \end{split}$$

where the M_i are 8×8 matrices with purely rational entries.

- ◊ Solution given in terms of GPLs.
- \diamond Integration constants are m_h and z dependent!

Goals & Motivations	The fragmentation function	Methods for loop computation	Results 0000000	Conclusions

COLLINEAR RENORMALIZATION

The bare $t \rightarrow h$ fragmentation function is:

$$D_{h \to h}^{B} = \delta(1-z) + \mathcal{O}(y_{t}^{2})$$
$$D_{t \to h}^{B}(z) = (Z_{th} \otimes D_{h \to h})(z) + (Z_{tt} \otimes D_{t \to h})(z) + \sum_{i \neq t, h} (Z_{ti} \otimes D_{i \to h})(z)$$

$$= Z_{th}(z) + (Z_{tt} \otimes D_{t \to h})(z) + \mathcal{O}(y_t^2 \alpha_s^2, y_t^4),$$

where the renormalization constants are:

$$\begin{split} Z_{th}(z) &= \frac{y_t^2}{16\pi^2} \frac{1}{\epsilon} P_{th}^{(0)T}(z) \\ &+ \frac{y_t^2}{16\pi^2} \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{2\epsilon} P_{th}^{(1)T}(z) + \frac{1}{2\epsilon^2} (P_{qq}^{(0)T} \otimes P_{th}^{(0)T})(z) - \frac{\beta_{th}^{(0)}}{4\epsilon^2} P_{th}^{(0)T}(z) \right) \\ &+ \mathcal{O}(y_t^2 \alpha_s^2, y_t^4), \\ Z_{tt}(z) &= \delta(1-z) + \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\epsilon} P_{qq}^{(0)T} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2, y_t^2), \end{split}$$

Goals & Motivations	The fragmentation function	Methods for loop computation	Results 0000000	Conclusions
	_			

COLLINEAR RENORMALIZATION

The bare $t \rightarrow h$ fragmentation function is:

$$D_{h \to h} = \delta(1 - z) + \mathcal{O}(y_t^2)$$
$$D_{t \to h}^B(z) = (Z_{th} \otimes D_{h \to h})(z) + (Z_{tt} \otimes D_{t \to h})(z) + \sum_{i \neq t, h} (Z_{ti} \otimes D_{i \to h})(z)$$

$$= Z_{th}(z) + (Z_{tt} \otimes D_{t \to h})(z) + \mathcal{O}(y_t^2 \alpha_s^2, y_t^4),$$

where the renormalization constants are:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{th}(z) &= \frac{y_t^2}{16\pi^2} \frac{1}{\epsilon} P_{th}^{(0)T}(z) \mathbf{?} \\ &+ \frac{y_t^2}{16\pi^2} \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \left(\underbrace{\frac{1}{2\epsilon} P_{th}^{(1)T}(z)}_{\ell th} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2\epsilon^2} (P_{qq}^{(0)T} \otimes P_{th}^{(0)T})(z)}_{\ell th} - \underbrace{\frac{\beta_{th}^{(0)}}{4\epsilon^2} P_{th}^{(0)T}(z)}_{\ell th} \right) \\ &+ \mathcal{O}(y_t^2 \alpha_s^2, y_t^4), \end{aligned}$$
$$Z_{tt}(z) &= \delta(1-z) + \underbrace{\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\epsilon} P_{qq}^{(0)T}}_{\ell th} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2, y_t^2). \end{aligned}$$

Goals & Motivations	The fragmentation function	Methods for loop computation	Results 0000000	Conclusions

SPLITTING FUNCTION RESULTS

$$\begin{split} P_{th}^{(0)T}(z) =& z \\ P_{th}^{(1)T}(z) =& C_F \left[-8z \ Li_2(z) + z \ ln^2(1-z) - \frac{1}{2}z \ ln^2(z) + 3z \ ln(1-z) \\ & -4z \ ln(z) \ ln(1-z) + \left(-1 + \frac{1}{2}z \right) \ ln(z) + \left(-\frac{13}{2} + 15z \right) \right] \\ P_{gh}^{(1)T}(z) =& 2T_F \left[2(-3 + 2z + z^2) - (1 + 5z) \ ln(z) + z \ ln^2(z) \right] \end{split}$$



The fragmentation function

Methods for loop computation

Results

Conclusions

FRAGMENTATION FUNCTION RESULTS

$$\begin{split} D_{t \to H}^{(1)}(z) &= \frac{\alpha_{s}C_{F}}{2\pi} \frac{y_{t}^{2}}{16\pi^{2}} \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{4} \left(2 - 5z \right) + z \ln(1 - z) - \frac{z}{2} \ln(z) \right] L^{2} + \left[6z \operatorname{Re} \left[\operatorname{Li}_{2} \left(\frac{z}{x^{+}} \right) \right] \right] \\ &+ 2z \operatorname{Li}_{2}(r) + 4z \operatorname{Li}_{2}(z) + 4z \operatorname{arg} \left(\frac{x^{+}}{x^{-}} \right) \operatorname{Im} \left[\ln \left(1 - \frac{z - x^{+}}{z - x^{-}} \right) \right] - \frac{5z}{4} \operatorname{arg}^{2} \left(\frac{x^{+}}{x^{-}} \right) \\ &- 2z \operatorname{Im}^{2} \left[\ln \left(1 - \frac{z - x^{+}}{z - x^{-}} \right) \right] + \frac{3z}{4} \ln^{2}(1 - r) + \frac{z}{4} \ln^{2}(r) - \frac{3z}{2} \ln(1 - r) \ln(1 - z) \\ &- \frac{z}{2} \ln(r) \ln(1 - z) + \frac{z}{2} \ln(1 - r) \ln(r) - \frac{z}{4} \ln^{2}(1 - z) - \frac{z}{2} \ln^{2}(z) + 4z \ln(1 - z) \ln(z) \\ &- \frac{z}{r} \left(4\xi^{2}(1 - z) + 8 - 8z + 3z^{2} \right) \ln(1 - z) - \frac{r}{\xi^{2}} \left(8\pi\xi^{3}(1 - z)z + 2\pi\xi z^{3} \right) \\ &+ \beta(32\xi^{4}(1 - z) - 4\xi^{2}(2 - 3z - z^{2}) + (2 - z)z^{2}) \right) \left(\operatorname{Im} \left[\ln \left(1 - \frac{z - x^{+}}{z - x^{-}} \right) \right] \\ &- \operatorname{arg} \left(\frac{x^{+}}{x^{-}} \right) \right) - \frac{r}{2\xi^{2}z^{2}} \left(32\xi^{6}(1 - z) - 8\xi^{4}(2 - 3z) - 4\xi^{2}z + z^{3} \right) \ln(r) + \left(8\xi^{2} - 3 \right) \\ &+ \frac{z}{2} \left[\ln(z) + \frac{c}{6\xi^{2}} \left(4\xi^{3}(1 - z)(27 - (45 + 4\pi^{2})z) - \xi z(36 - 63z + (54 + 4\pi^{2})z^{2}) \right) \\ &- 3\beta\pi (32\xi^{4}(1 - z) - 4\xi^{2}(2 - 3z - z^{2}) + (2 - z)z^{2}) \right) \right] L \\ &- \frac{2\xi^{2}z - (2 + z)}{\beta\xi} \left(2 \operatorname{Im}[\operatorname{Li}_{3}(x^{+})] - \operatorname{Im} \left[\operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(\frac{z - 1}{1 - x^{+}} \right) \right] \\ &- \operatorname{Im} \left[\operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(\frac{z}{z - x^{+}} \right) \right] - \operatorname{Im} \left[\operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(- \frac{(1 - z)x^{+}}{1 - x^{+}} \right) \right] - \operatorname{Im} \left[\operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(\frac{z - 1}{1 - x^{+}} \right) \right] \\ &- \operatorname{Im} \left[\operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(\frac{z - x^{+}}{r + z(w^{+} - 1)} \right) \right] - \operatorname{Im} \left[\operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(\frac{rw^{+}}{r + z(w^{+} - 1)} \right) \right] \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im} \left[\operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(\frac{zw^{+}}{r + z(w^{+} - 1)} \right) \right] - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im} \left[\operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(\frac{rw^{+}}{r + z(w^{+} - 1)} \right) \right] \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im} \left[\operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(\frac{rw^{+}}{r + z(w^{+} - 1)} \right) \right] \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im} \left[\operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(\frac{rw^{+}}{r + z(w^{+} - 1)} \right) \right] \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im} \left[\operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(\frac{rw^{+}}{r + z(w^{+} - 1)} \right) \right] \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im} \left[\operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(\frac{rw^{+}}{r + z(w^{+} - 1)} \right) \right] \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im} \left[\operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(\frac{rw^{+}}{r + z(w^{+} - 1)} \right) \right] \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im} \left[\operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(\frac{rw^{+}}{r + z($$



14

Conclusions

SUMMARY & OUTLOOK

ACHIEVEMENTS

- $\checkmark\,$ Analytic computation of the MIs,
- $\checkmark D_{t \to h}(z)$ fragmentation function at $\mathcal{O}(y_t^2 \alpha_s)$,
- $\checkmark \ D_{g \rightarrow h}(z) \text{ fragmentation function at } \mathcal{O}(y_t^2 \alpha_s),$
- $\checkmark P_{th}^T(z)$ splitting function at $\mathcal{O}(y_t^2 \alpha_s)$,

 $\checkmark P_{gh}^T(z)$ splitting function at $\mathcal{O}(y_t^2 \alpha_s)$. OUTLOOK



- \times Convolution of the fragmentation functions with $t\bar{t}$,
- × NNLO computation of the fragmentation functions in $m_h \rightarrow 0$.

