

USING AXION MINICLUSTERS TO GET THE AXION-PHOTON COUPLING

BASED ON 2307.11871

VD, J.JAECKEL, V.MONTOYA

VIRGILE DANDOY KARLSRUHE INSTITUTE FOR TECHNOLOGY LIGHT DARK WORLD 2023



 A signal in direct/indirect detection experiments can be enhanced by two effects



What experiments tell us about the dark matter coupling/density ?



Coming from a dominant component of dark

matter



 A signal in direct/indirect detection experiments can be enhanced by two effects



What experiments tell us about the dark matter coupling/density?

Coming from a subdominant component of dark matter but more coupled



Number of Photons

• If a signal is observed in direct/indirect detection, it could mean two things

> Coming from a dominant component of dark

matter



• We only get the degenerate

What experiments tell us about the dark matter coupling/density?

Coming from a subdominant component of dark matter but more coupled



information of the coupling/density: $\,
ho_{
m DM}\,g_{\gamma}^{n}$

• If a signal is observed in direct/indirect detection, it could mean two things

Coming from a dominant component of dark matter

• We only get the degenerate information of the coupling/density: $\,
ho_{
m DM}\,g_{\gamma}^{n}$



Use two different experiments with different powers n



Use Axion Miniclusters in Haloscopes

What experiments tell us about the dark matter coupling/density?

Coming from a subdominant component of dark matter but more coupled



Axion Miniclusters

- Axions arise as the Goldstone boson of a U(1) spontaneously broken symmetry
- If the symmetry is broken after inflation, the axion field is initially inhomogeneous



Large density fluctuations collapse early into axion miniclusters



Broad spectrum of masses depending on the axion mass

Prediction of the post-inflation scenario

 $\sim 10^6 \,\mathrm{km}$

$\sim 10^{-12} \,\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$

Axion Miniclusters

- Axions are better described via a classical field description

$$\psi(r,\theta,\phi) = \sum_{nlm} a_{nlm} e^{i\phi_{nlm}} R_{nl}(r) Y_{lm}(\theta,\phi)$$
$$a_{nlm} = 4\pi \sqrt{m_a \mathcal{N}_{nl} \frac{f(E_n)}{g_l(E_n)}}$$

Description of an Axion Minicluster

- The occupation number is huge: $N \sim$

$$\left(\frac{34\,\mathrm{eV}}{m_a}\right)\left(\frac{2\xi}{\eta}\right)$$

[2206.04619]

Represents a cluster with mean density, gravitational potential and distribution function ho(r), $\phi(r)$, f(E)

Axion Miniclusters

• A given realization of the random phases brings granular structures in the density profile

 $P(\rho) = \frac{1}{\rho(r)} e^{-\rho/\rho(r)}$

Average over the random phases: Mean density and gravitational potential

Wave function of the minicluster

 $\psi(r,\theta,\phi) = \sum a_{nlm} e^{i\phi_{nlm}} R_{nl}(r) Y_{lm}(\theta,\phi)$ $a_{nlm} = 4\pi \sqrt{m_a \mathcal{N}_{nl} \frac{f(E_n)}{g_l(E_n)}}$







• In the case of an AMC encounter, the signal in haloscope will be enhanced.

• Since a superposition of modes enters the cavity, the spectral power carries a lot a

 $P \approx \frac{\omega_j}{Q} \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d\omega S(\omega).$







AMC in Haloscopes Signal in the case of a minicluster 10^{23} 10^{22} 10^{21} $(\widehat{\underline{3}}_{\mathcal{N}})$ 10^{19} 10^{18} -1.00-0.75-0.50-0.250.00 $\times 10^{-18}$ $\left[\omega - (m_a + \omega_{\rm amc})\right]$ [eV] • At each location the signal is The width of the spectral power is exactly the gravitational energy $m_a \phi(r)$ at the location of the measurement measured during a period T





Each measurement run provides the gravitational energy $m_a\phi(r)$

- determination

Signal in the case of a minicluster

• The finite binning creates a natural error on the gravitational potential

• The relative error decreases as $\sim 1/\left(m_a \phi(r) \, T
ight)$

• The granules are not affecting the width of the spectral power !



Signal in the case of a minicluster

As usual, the power provides a measure of $g^2_{a\gamma\gamma}\rho(r)$ at each location

• Since the minicluster has some random granular structures, the power gets some deviation from the mean power

• The relative deviation increases as $\sim 1/\sqrt{m_a\phi(r) T}$





Each measurement run provides the gravitational energy $m_a \phi(r)$

As usual the power provides a measure of $~g^2_{a\gamma\gamma}
ho(r)$

Signal in the case of a mini cluster

Better precision for denser miniclusters and for longer measurement run

• The density and the gravitational potential are related via the Poisson equation in time coordinate

$$\frac{\ddot{\phi}(t)}{\dot{r}(t)^2} + \frac{2\dot{\phi}(t)}{\dot{r}(t)r(t)} - \frac{\ddot{r(t)}\dot{\phi}(t)}{\dot{r}(t)^3} = 4\pi G\rho(t) \qquad r(t) =$$

Procedure :

• Create the function $\mathcal{F}(b, R, g_{a\gamma\gamma}; t_i) = \frac{g_{a\gamma\gamma}^2}{4\pi G} \left(\frac{\ddot{\phi}_{out}(t_i)}{\dot{r}(t_i)^2} + \frac{2\dot{\phi}_{out}(t_i)}{\dot{r}(t_i)r(t_i)} - \frac{\dot{r}(t_i)\dot{\phi}_{out}(t_i)}{\dot{r}(t_i)^3} \right).$

• Maximize
$$\mathcal{L}(b, R, g_{a\gamma\gamma}) = \sum_{i} \log \left(\frac{(g_{a\gamma\gamma}^2 \rho(t_i))_{ot}}{|(g_{a\gamma\gamma}^2 \rho(t_i))_{out} - \mathcal{F}(b, t_i)|} \right)$$

Reconstruction of the coupling

$$\sqrt{b^2 + \left(vt - \sqrt{R^2 - b^2}\right)^2}$$

 $\frac{\mathrm{ut}}{\left|R,g_{a\gamma\gamma};t_{i}
ight)|}$



Reconstruction of the coupling

Better reconstruction for denser miniclusters

Reconstruction is sensitive to the number of measurements we take

For QCD axion mass, it is expected to be efficient for heavy miniclusters

Conclusion

- Direct/Indirect detection experiments can only give access to a degenerate product of the density and the coupling
- We have shown that axion miniclusters are capable of providing extra-information on the gravitational potential along the path of the cluster
- Using the Poisson equation, it can be used to disentangle the density-coupling product
- It has been shown that this could be efficient for relatively dense axion miniclusters.