

Preparing the two-moment microphysical scheme for

operational forecasts using radar and <u>satellites</u>

German Weather Service (DWD)

Alberto de Lozar, Sophie Löbel, Kobra Khosravian, Daniela Littmann, Jana Mendrok, Uli Blahak





ICON-Rapid Update Cycle (RUC)	ICON-D2
It runs every hour.	It runs every three hours.
Results arrive ~35 min after last assimilation.	Results arrive ~1:15 h after last assimilation.
Assimilation of less conventional observations and shorter Latent-Heat Nudging in forecast.	Almost all observations are assimilated.
Often boundary conditions from an older IEU.	Newer boundary conditions.
More complex two-moment microphysics and radar operator (Mie). Shallow-convection only.	Simple one two-moment microphysics and radar operator (Rayleigh). Gray-zone tuning.

But same domain and grid size. ICON-RUC starts every day from ICON-D2 analysis on 3UTC. ICON-RUC is running in evaluation mode for two years. **Since July in technical-operational mode.**



Good Summer (08.24)



DWD

- On our first month (August) almost all scores show a better performance of the RUC over ICON-D2.
- As an example, score cards based on SYNOP station (left) and precipitation (right) are shown.

ALL	GER
alialianiani	
	-
	-







But Winter is always worst than Summer (02.25)



DWD

- On our last winter month (February) show a performance comparable to ID2.
- As an example, score cards based on SYNOP station (left) and precipitation (right) are shown.

	411	400
	ALL	GER
State of the local division of the local div		
-		
	A REAL PROPERTY AND INCOME.	









Can we make the model more realistic in winter?

- Learning from literature
- Learning from satellites
- ➔ Does it produce better scores?





Looking at the literature (incomplete)

Evaluation of the COSMO model (v5.1) in polarimetric radar space – impact of uncertainties in model

Prabhakar Shrestha 🖂, Jana Mendrok, Velibor Pejcic, Silke Trömel, Ulricl

microphysics, retrievals and forwarc Secondary ice production – no evidence of efficient rime-splintering mechanism

Johanna S. Seidel, Alexei A. Kiselev, Alice Keinert, Frank Stratmann, Thomas Leisner, Evaluating the Wegener-Bergeron-Fil and Susan Hartmann large-eddy mode with in situ observa project

Nadja Omanovic 🖂, Sylvaine Ferrachat, Christopher Fuchs, Jan Henneberger, Anna J. Miller, Kevin Ohneiser, Fabiola Ramelli, Patric Seifert, Robert Spirig, Huiying Zhang, and Ulrike Lohmann 🖂

A New Ice Nucleation Active Site Parameterization for Desert Dust and Soot

Romy Ullrich, Corinna Hoose, Ottmar Möhler, Monika Niemand, Robert Wagner, Kristina Höhler, Naruki Hiranuma, Harald Saathoff, and Thomas Leisner Print Publication: 01 Mar 2017

Improving the representation of aggregation in a two-moment microphysical scheme with statistics of multi-frequency Doppler radar observations





PrePEP 2025

Markus Karrer . Axel Seifert, Davide Ori, and Stefan Kneifel



Looking at the literature (incomplete)

Evaluation of the COSMO model (v5.1) in polarimetric radar space – impact of uncertainties in model microphysics, retrievals and forwarc



microphysics, retrievals and forward Prabhakar Shrestha , Jana Mendrok, Velibor Pejcic, Silke Trömel, Ulrici rime-splintering mechanism

Evaluating the Wegener-Bergeron-Fil and Susan Hartmann large-eddy mode with in situ observa

Nadja Omanovic 🖂, Sylvaine Ferrachat, Christopher Fuchs, Jan Henneberger, Anna J. Miller, Kevin Ohneiser, Fabiola Ramelli, Patric Seifert, Robert Spirig, Huiying Zhang, and Ulrike Lohmann 🖂

A New Ice Nucleation Active Site Parameterization for Desert Dust and Soot

Romy Ullrich, Corinna Hoose, Ottmar Möhler, Monika Niemand, Robert Wagner, Kristina Höhler, Naruki Hiranuma, Harald Saathoff, and Thomas Leisner Print Publication: 01 Mar 2017

Improving the representation of aggregation in a two-moment microphysical scheme with statistics of multi-frequency Doppler radar observations



Markus Karrer 🖂, Axel Seifert, Davide Ori, and Stefan Kneifel



PrePEP 2025

Johanna S. Seidel, Alexei A. Kiselev, Alice Keinert, Frank Stratmann, Thomas Leisner,

		100 MPA	
5	10:15-10:30	Latest Result of Including ZDR Column for Enhanced Radar Data Assimilation at German weather Service (DWD)	127
		 Ulrich Blahak (Deutscher Wetterdienst) Kobra Khosravian* (Deutscher Wetterdienst) 	
		3) Jana Mendrok (Deutscher Wetterdienst)	
		4) Klaus Stephan (Deutscher Wetterdienst)	
		5) Alberto de Lozar (Deutscher Wetterdienst)	
2	15:00-15:15	Comparing raindrop size distributions from the two- moment microphysics scheme of the ICON-RUC model with disdrometer observations	26
		1) Sophie Löbel* (Deutscher Wetterdienst)	
		2) Nikolaos Antonoglou (Deutscher Wetterdienst)	
		3) Ulrich Blahak (Deutscher Wetterdienst)	
		4) Axel Seifert (Deutscher Wetterdienst)	
		5) Alberto de Lozar (Deutscher Wetterdienst)	1

Oceanic Sciences, McGill University

Looking at the literature



Evaluation of the COSMO model (v5.1) in polarimetric radar sr Too much graupel ertainties in model microphysics, retrievals and forward operators Prabhakar Shrestha 🖾, Jana Mendrok, Velibor Pejcic, Silke Trömel, Ulrich Blahak, and Jacob T. Carlin rime-splintering Still not clear mechanism for ice multiplication

Johanna S. Seidel, Alexei A. Kiseley, Alice Keinert, Frank Stratmann, Thomas Leisner,

Evaluating the Wegener-Bergeron-Finglessen process in ICON in

Slow grow of snow/ice in presence of cloud water (WBF) project

Nadja Omanovic 🖂, Sylvaine Ferrachat, Christopher Fuchs, Jan Henneberger, Anna J. Miller, Kevin Ohneiser, Fabiola Ramelli, Patric Seifert, Robert Spirig, Huiying Zhang, and Ulrike Lohmann 🖂

A New Ice Nucleation Active Site Parameterization for Desert Dust

^a Better ice nucleation scheme.

Romy Ullrich, Corinna Hoose, Ottmar Möhler, Monika Niemand, Robert Wagner, Kristina Höhler, Naruki Hiranuma, Harald Saathoff, and Thomas Leisner Print Publication: 01 Mar 2017



Improving the representation of aggregation in a two-mo Snow is too fast multi-frequency poppler radar observations





PrePEP 2025

Markus Karrer 🖂, Axel Seifert, Davide Ori, and Stefan Kneifel



- \rightarrow Microphysical tuning with satellite information (RTTOV).
- Satellite information provides information about cloud cover and hydrometeors size and phase. Therefore, it is important to provide the model effective radius to the forward operators.
- \rightarrow All comparison in observational space. Reliable only on summer (snow).



0.6 μ m visible channel (~ cloud thickness)





Looking at clouds (with SEVIRI)



0.6 μm visible channel (~ cloud thickness) Understanding the model representation of clouds based on visible and infrared satellite observations

Stefan Geiss 🖂, Leonhard Scheck, Alberto de Lozar, and Martin Weissmann







New satellite channel for particle size



Observation



1.2 μ m visible channel (~ particle size/phase)

A neural network-based method for generating synthetic 1.6 μm near-infrared satellite images

Florian Baur 🖂, Leonhard Scheck, Christina Stumpf, Christina Köpken-Watts, and Roland Potthast





Relevant Microphysics changes



- More ice clouds:
 - → A more modern (Ullrich 2017) ice nucleation scheme.
 - ➔ No sticking for ice-ice collisions below -40 C/ Reduced sticking efficiency for ice-snow collisions.
 - \rightarrow Assume needles for the ice capacitance (instead of flakes).
 - \rightarrow Slower the snow velocity (similar to Karrer et al. 2021).
 - \rightarrow Radiation is compensated by increasing the effective radii of liquid subgrid-scale clouds.
- ➔ More snow, less graupel
 - Slow down the snow to graupel process (now it uses the original value of Seibert Beheng 2006).
 - Use different refraction index approximation for the calculation of reflectivity in the bright band (to offset very high reflectivities due to larger graupel)
- ➔ More precipitation from stratiform clouds/larger rain drops:
 - \rightarrow Change the calculation of rain number concentration resulting from the melting process.
 - \rightarrow Remove unphysically-small rain droplets in the freezing process.
 - \rightarrow Remove artificial limitation in the WBF process (ice/snow growth from cloud water).





Using satellite data with RTTOV (L. Scheck, LMU)









New satellite channel for particle size











- \rightarrow We have run an assimilation experiment for three weeks in winter 2024.
- The bias in precipitation clearly improved. It produces less drizzle and more-frequent RR>1mm/h. FSS also improves.
- Scores from radio-sondes significantly improve, but surface scores are more mixed.









- 80

60

➔ The model produce too-broad reflectivity structures

- → This causes problems too our cell-detection algorithm
 - 1. It detects very-large unrealistic convection cells that are unrealistic and look bad in the visualization of the derived products.
 - 2. It detects too-few small cells.







Conclusions/Outlook

- We are working in a mayor update of the microphysics. We aim for a more consistent and physically-based model (not only standard verification).
- \rightarrow Development is still in process and will continue for the next years. Our current focus is also on:
 - Ensemble spread (Sophie Löbel)
 - Use new observations like disdrometers (Sophie Löbel) or polarimetry (Kobra Khosravian, Jana Mendrok)
 - <u>Run at hectometer resolutions</u> (GLORY, Daniela Littmann)
 - Improve radar forward operator (Jana Mendrok, Uli Blahak)











- 80

60

40

- ➔ The model produce too-broad reflectivity structures.
- → This causes problems too our cell-detection algorithm
 - 1. It detects very-large unrealistic convection cells that are unrealistic and look bad in the visualization of the derived products.
 - 2. It detects too-few small cells.













- The **snow/graupel ratio is too low** (too much graupel) when compared with other models (like our 1mom).
 - \rightarrow Too-broad high-reflectivity structures due to graupel.
 - \rightarrow Too-few middle clouds as snow is depleted.
 - \rightarrow Snow does not have time to grow to produce precipitation in winter.
- SEVIRI satellite observations show we have **too-few high clouds** and too many low clouds:
 - \rightarrow Too-few ice clouds might reduce precipitation in winter.
- Radar polarimetry suggests that the rain droplets might be too small in the model
 This might reduce the rain efficiency from stratiform clouds.





- Further Improvements/Corrected bugs: \rightarrow
 - \rightarrow Bug in the effective radius calculation.
 - \rightarrow Improved formulation of melting (it does not assume infinitely available liquid water).
 - \rightarrow Physically-based formulation the bright band in EMVORADO
 - Consistent assumptions for the attenuation in reflectivities. We distinguish attenuation from dry (corrected in observations) and wet (mostly not corrected) hydrometeors.







- → ESSL responded positively to the RUC and observed better performance as ICON-D2.
- ICON-RUC produces reasonable results for significant precipitation accumulation but tends to underestimate the areal extent of such precipitation.
- Forecasts for large hail from both ICON-RUC and ICON-RUC EPS were generally considered highly useful and of good quality.







- We have had a very good performance in summer. Scores and subjective verification by forecasters (Wettervorhersage and ESSL) show a clear advantage of the RUC over ICON-D2 (apart form the earlier available predictions).
- We are not doing that well in winter, although earlier availability of predictions can still be an advantage. Precipitation scores are still better in ICON-D2 and the feedback from flight meteorology (Flugwetterdienst) was more mixed. One important bug in the visibility diagnostic has been identified.
- This is not surprising to us, because most development has been focused on Summer Weather. Besides some Newbie Nuisances ("Kinderkrankheiten") are unfortunately expected.





Shedding of graupel/hail improves deep convection

- Deutscher Wetterdienst Wetter und Klima aus einer Hand
- We allow for shedding of liquid water in large graupel and hail. This physical process limits the production of very large hydrometeors, and their associated large reflectivities and extreme rain rates.
- \rightarrow We have make more demanding the transition from large snowflakes to graupel.
- \rightarrow These changes slightly improve scores for high rain rates.













- Our operational microphysical scheme has a prognostic equation for the mass concentration (q) of each hydrometeor (cloud water, rain, ice, snow and graupel): it does not produce very high reflectivity.
- The two-moment-scheme of Seifert and Beheng (2006) can provide more realistic reflectivities because:
 - Additional prognostic equation for number concentrations (N).
 - Reflectivity is highly non linear: $R \sim r^6 \sim (q/N)^2$
 - Additional hail class allowing for large hail particles.
- We have coupled the two-moment scheme to radiation through the calculation of an effective radius: r ~ a(q/N)^b
- Radar and satellite forward-operators (RTTOV) are also consistent with the assumptions and calculations from the microphysics.





Visibility bug

- Our colleagues from flight meteorology made us aware that the visibility diagnostic of the RUC was unrealistic in rainy conditions (Thanks!).
- \rightarrow Further investigations revealed a bug due to different assumptions in one and two-moment schemes.
- Together with Tobias Göcke we have implemented a hotfix that will be released soon. A better diagnostic (tailored for the 2-moment scheme) is planned for SINFONY 3.0.







Changes in 2023 (experiments by K. Khosravian)



- Reduced collision efficiency of graupel by 50%.
- Faster graupel velocity according to Heymsfield et al. (2018).
- Graupel can form for T > -3.
- Lower limit of Connoly et al. (2012) for snow sticking efficiency.
- Old Bright Band Settings in EMVORADO
- Corrected bug in melting scheme.
- Bright Band for T>-3
- Reduced snow terminal velocity (similar to M. Karrer er al. (2021)).

- The RUC branching from operational allows for simulating interesting days only: we have chosen five days in last summer for testing. The reduced cost allows for ensemble forecast.
- Winter verification is still done with a continuous experiment over 12 days (only deterministic verification).





Forecasts from Assimilation Experiments





But Winter is always worst than Summer (11.24)



DWD

- On our last month (November) almost all scores show a worst performance of the RUC over ICON-D2.
- As an example score cards based on SYNOP station (left) and precipitation (right) are shown.

	ALL	GER
1		
1		
1		
1		
t		
		and the second
Ĩ		
1		
		Media Channel Social Channel Social Channel Social Channel Social Channel Social Channel Social
1		







- \rightarrow New namelist for the two-moment scheme. Most development visible through namelist changes.
 - &twomom_mcrph_nml
- \rightarrow Two Buildbot tests has been created with the pre-operational RUC configuration (in merge request):
 - checksuite.nwp/nwpexp.run_ICON_24_R19B7_RUC_ass
 - checksuite.nwp/nwpexp.run_ICON_25_R19B7_RUC_fc
- The two-moment microphysical scheme has been fully ported to the GPU (A. Laubert, MeteoSwiss):
 - LHN soon to come
 - The coupling with radiation is not yet optimized for operational runs (speed).





New melting of graupel/hail





- The model assumes that graupel/hail are covered by a liquid film due to melting. The evaporation of the liquid slows down the melting process.
- The problem is how to account for the liquid phase. In the two-moment scheme all melted water goes to the rain phase.
- Current two moment scheme ignores the evaporative cooling of liquid in the atmosphere (while keeping the slower melting), but it also considers the direct sublimation of graupel/hail. This is not very consistent.
- We have implemented a new melting scheme in which the evaporated liquid goes to the melted phase. There is no sublimation as far as rain water is available (coated particle).
- This produces a bit more precipitation on the surface and potentially less cold pools (only tested in winter).





Evaluation by DWD forecasters and ESSL



- Apart from our verification suite we let the model to be evaluated by our warning-forecasters and European Severe Storm Laboratory (ESSL). Both groups provide a subjective evaluation of the model in severe weather conditions, which complements our verification suite.
- Last year the reviews were mostly positive, with both groups seeing an added value of the SINFONY forecasts. Some critics were:
 - incorrect the Z-R relationship.
 - when asked about cold pools and gusts we get quiet mixed answers: too strong, too weak, ok. Maybe this means they are right, but we should have a closer look.
 - A few cases with missing convection. Often delayed convection
- This year reports were quite positive from the ESSL, but little feedback from DWD due to lack of resources.









The problems

- EMVORADO calculates the attenuation along the ray propagation. On the other hand, POLARA uses a correction to remove the attenuation from radar signal. This is not consistent.
- The bright band correction (increase of reflectivity due to partially-melted graupel) is calculated using a very simple parameterization, which is independent of the microphysics. We have used this parameterization used for tuning in the past years.
- \rightarrow ICON model field reflectivities are calculated without attenuation correction. They tend to be very large.

New developments (Uli's)

- New implementation of Bright-Band correction consistent with microphysics. The parameterization is based on previous calculations at which temperature and at which size we can obtain wet graupel. This new parameterization tends to reduce high reflectivities.
- ➔ Reduction of very-high large hail and graupel particles by introducing shedding.
- Slow down of graupel creation. The collision of small droplets and large ice does not produce graupel any more.





Shedding (all with attenuation)



- **Reduction of very-high large hail and graupel particles by introducing shedding.**
- Slow down of graupel creation. The collision of small droplets and large ice does not produce







Shedding (all with attenuation)











More ice clouds:

- ➔ INAS ice nucleation scheme (from KIT)
- \rightarrow More active ice nucleation (SSI = SSI + 0.2), justified by fluctuations.
- ➔ Avoid ice aggregation below -40 C

More realistic water clouds (reff closer to 5mm)

CCN of sub-grid scale clouds multiplied by two. This puts the reffective radius of grid-scale and sub-grid scale clouds in a similar range.

Snow more according to experiments

- Original snow velocity from Seifert and Beheng (slower for large snow).
- \rightarrow Original Connley sticking efficiency (current in RUC divided by two).







The problems

- \rightarrow EMVORADO calculates the attenuation along the ray propagation. On the other hand, POLARA uses a correction to remove the attenuation from radar signal. This is not consistent.
- \rightarrow The bright band correction (increase of reflectivity due to partially-melted graupel) is calculated using a very simple parameterization, which is independent of the microphysics. We have used this parameterization used for tuning in the past years.
- \rightarrow ICON model field reflectivities are calculated without attenuation correction. They tend to be very large.

New developments (Uli's)

- \rightarrow New implementation of Bright-Band correction consistent with microphysics. The parameterization is based on previous calculations at which temperature and at which size we can obtain wet graupel. This new parameterization tends to reduce high reflectivities.
- \rightarrow Reduction of very-high large hail and graupel particles by introducing shedding.
- \rightarrow Slow down of graupel creation. The collision of small droplets and large ice does not produce graupel any more.







Ensemble experiments (S. Ulbrich, S. Löbel)



- → Nine selected days from 2022/2023 summer divided into strong and weak convective forcing.
- → Verification on the fly: Ensemble forecast each hour without filling the hard drive
- \rightarrow We are exploring ensemble spread scores for convection.





Discussion Z-R Relationship





In winter, the results are more difficult to interpret. The mode (max of pdf) ۲ is realistic, but the pdf are highly different. In model we observe a lot of cases with little precipitation and high reflectivity while in RW the distribution is more symetric.







Forecasting time scales and -frameworks









SINFONY



➔ Idea from Nerini et al. (2019)











- \rightarrow With the ICON-RUC we aim for a seamless transition from Nowcasting.
- We aim to capture small/medium convective structures that are visible in the radar. This is achieved by:
 - Results arrive 45 minutes after last assimilation and the model runs every hour: predictions are 0:45-1:45 h old (in ICON-D2 predictions are 1:15-4:15 h old).
 - Assimilation of reflectivities and radar wind observations: this is also in ICON-D2 (as a result of this project), but the ICON-RUC can assimilate more reflectivity observations.
 - More realistic reflectivities: two-moment microphysics and more realistic reflectivity operator.



Adjusting the two-moment microphysics



- The two-moment scheme has never previously been used in operational mode. The initial performance was clearly worst than our operational ICON.
- There are many uncertain processes and parameters in microphysics. Most parameters are taken from laboratory experiments, observations on few campaigns, or comparison with high- resolved simulations in case studies.
- We have reevaluated many of these parameterizations from a top-down approach, based on the results of hindcast experiments over Germany.
- In the hindcasts we compare with "traditional" observations (surface stations and radiosondes), but also with radar and satellite observations in order to obtain a more realistic simulation of clouds and precipitation.







KONRAD3D cells from RUC simulated PPI volume scans

- The RUC produces less cells than in the observations, but more that in the routine configuration.
- KONRAD3D derives different cell properties (VIL, dBZmax, echoTop): cells in the routine have less VIL and dBZ. The RUC produces much more realistic cells, as shown by density histograms for long-lived cells (>60min).







Properties of simulated cells from RUC





The more realistic properties translates into a better prediction of cell severity by RUC.













How doe we tune: Statistics form Hindcast Experiments

- Hindcast: model run in summer convection time with boundary conditions from short ICON-EU forecasts started from reanalysis.
- There is no assimilation, the model is forced to real weather through the boundary conditions. Hindcast runs provide the model climatology.



D2 Domain (2.1 km)





Enhanced Ice-ice conversion rate



- The initial scheme produced too many small ice particles. These particles are radiatively active and cool the model too much.
- \rightarrow We have enhanced the ice-ice collision to snow process by:
 - Eliminate the minimum size of ice for collisions.
 - Enhance the velocity that accounts for turbulent fluctuations of ice particles.
- \rightarrow The final ice concentrations are comparable to the one-moment scheme.





Slower Graupel Velocity





- Stratiform systems were small when looking at reflectivities/precipitation.
- The old configuration featured a relatively fast sedimentation velocity for graupel. Recent measurements suggest that a lower velocity might be more realistic.
- Reducing the sedimentation velocity produces wider reflectivity regions, but also more intense reflectivity cores.







New Capacitances for ice and snow



- The capacitance scales the growth/evaporation rate of the hydrometeors. It mainly depends on the hydrometer geometry.
- Most models assume that the hydrometeors are spherical and therefore have a large capacitance. Simulation studies at the microphysical level suggests that this assumed value might be too high.
- Assuming smaller capacitances reduces the latent heat release in updrafts, thus reducing the high reflectivities and precipitation.





Reflectivity scores (14 days in 07.21)



- These are forecasts from two assimilation experiments.
- We see a clear advantage of the twomoment scheme for high reflectivities.
- This behavior is very consistent for all model configurations we have tested (also for COSMO).
- Very high reflectivities are very hard for a 2km scale model.



Precipitation Scores (May 2022)





- The routine (in read) performs better in the first hour: this is partly because Latent-Heat Nudging works better and longer.
- We see a less abrupt decay of the scores in the RUC. This is even more clear with reflectivity.
- It seems worse for the 5mm/h threshold but June is showing the opposite trend.
- We do not run EMVORADO in the Routine forecasts and it is therefore not that easy to compare results in reflectivity space.





- \rightarrow First evaluation of the SINFONY-RUC by ESSL and by our forecasters.
- Assimilation of SEVIRI visible channels (soon), reflectivity objects and lighting (work in progress).
- Evaluation reflectivity objects (POLARA) from the model.
- \rightarrow Investigation of hydrological products for flood prevention.
- Exploration of 1km resolution in nested domains. First results have not shown significant improvements.
- \rightarrow Use shallow-convection stochastic scheme in RUC.







- CFADS are two-dimensional histograms that show how often do reflectivites/reflectances occur at certain heights. These histograms provide information about the large hydrometeors.
- \rightarrow Experiments are done for a month without assimilation and best boundary conditions.









- \rightarrow The difference between summer/winter can be attributed to:
 - Different role of ice microphysics.
 - Change from convective (more in summer) to stratiform (more in winter) precipitation.
- Most previous development have been focused on convection. We need to improve stratiform cold clouds without breaking the convective clouds.
- \rightarrow We can make use of new satellite products and to produce more physical clouds according to observations.



