

Solving Differential Equations with Imaginary Mass

Chen-Yu Wang | 2020-06-23

Based on Liu, Ma, and Wang, arXiv:1711.09572, and work in preparation Brønnum-Hansen, Melnikov, and Wang.

SFB TRR 257, YOUNG SCIENTISTS FORUM

www.kit.edu

Outline





Solving differential equations with imaginary mass



2 NLO on-shell $gg \rightarrow WW$ with full m_t dependence

Conclusion 3





Motivation



$$I = \lim_{\eta \to 0^+} \int \prod_{i=1}^L \frac{d^d l_i}{(2\pi)^d} \prod_a \frac{1}{(q_a^2 - m_a^2 + i\eta)^{\nu_a}}$$

- Higher experimental precision at the LHC requires more accurate theoretical predictions for processes where jets, tops, Higgses, and vector bosons are produced.
- Many important processes at colliders involve Feynman diagrams with massive internal lines (t, H, W/Z). Computing such diagrams is challenging.



Existing Methods

$$I = \lim_{\eta \to 0^+} \int \prod_{i=1}^L \frac{d^d l_i}{(2\pi)^d} \prod_a \frac{1}{(q_a^2 - m_a^2 + i\eta)^{\nu_a}}$$

- Sector decomposition Binoth and Heinrich 2000; Heinrich 2008; A. V. Smirnov 2016; Borowka et al. 2018
 - Time consuming.
 - Hard to achieve high precision.
- Mellin–Barnes representation V. A. Smirnov 1999; Tausk 1999; Czakon 2006; A. V. Smirnov and
 - V. A. Smirnov 2009; Ochman and Riemann 2015
 - Hard to deal with non-planar diagrams.
- Differential equations method Kotikov 1991; Remiddi 1997; Gehrmann and Remiddi 2000; Henn 2013; Lee 2015
 - Boundary conditions are process-dependent and can be difficult to compute.
- Differential equations method is promising, but **process-dependent**.



Differential equations

$$I = \lim_{\eta \to 0^+} \int \prod_{i=1}^L \frac{d^d l_i}{(2\pi)^d} \prod_a \frac{1}{(q_a^2 - m_a^2 + i\eta)^{\nu_a}}$$

• Treat parameter η as a free variable and define

$$I(\eta) = \int \prod_{i=1}^{L} \frac{d^{d}l_{i}}{(2\pi)^{d}} \prod_{a} \frac{1}{(q_{a}^{2} - m_{a}^{2} + i\eta)^{\nu_{a}}}.$$

 $\operatorname{Require} I = \lim_{\eta \to 0^+} I(\eta).$

• Consider the DEs with respect to η

$$\frac{\partial I_i(\eta)}{\partial \eta} = \sum_n \frac{M_{ij}^n(\varepsilon)}{(\eta-\eta_n)^{a_n}} I_j(\eta)$$

The boundary conditions at $\eta = \infty$ are **process-independent**.



Boundary conditions

$$I(\eta) = \int \prod_{i=1}^{L} \frac{d^{d}l_{i}}{(2\pi)^{d}} \prod_{a} \frac{1}{(q_{a}^{2} - m_{a}^{2} + i\eta)^{\nu_{a}}}$$

• At $\eta = \infty$, there is only one region $l_{\mu} \sim \sqrt{|\eta|} k_{\mu}.$

Indeed, for each propagator

$$\frac{1}{((\sqrt{|\eta|}k+p)^2-m^2+i\eta)^\nu}=\frac{1}{\eta^\nu}\frac{1}{(k^2+i)^\nu}+\cdots$$

i.e. remove all legs and set all masses to $m^2 = -i$.

• The boundary conditions of DEs with respect to η are simple at $\eta = \infty$!

The vacuum bubbles are well-studied objects.

Davydychev, V. A. Smirnov, and Tausk 1993; Broadhurst 1999; Schroder and Vuorinen 2005; Luthe 2015; Kalmykov and Kniehl 2017





Solving the DEs

$$\frac{\partial I_i(\eta)}{\partial \eta} = \sum_n \frac{M_{ij}^n(\varepsilon)}{(\eta-\eta_n)^{a_n}} I_j(\eta)$$

- Determine boundary conditions near $\eta = \infty$.
- Taylor expand at each finite point $\eta = \eta_k$.
- Match with the general solution $I_i(\eta) = \left[P(\eta)\eta^{M_{-1}}\right]_{ij}I_j$ near $\eta = 0$.





Conclusion



Figure: Test cases.

- Efficient and precise.
 Diagram (b) up to 6 digits in the threshold region:
 - Our new method: 168 master integrals in $\mathcal{O}(10^2)$ CPU seconds.
 - FIESTA4: single master integral in $\mathcal{O}(10^7)$ CPU seconds to reach comparable precision.
 - $\mathcal{O}(10^5)$ times faster than sector decomposition!
- Boundary conditions are processes-independent. Systematic method to deal with multi-scale problems.

NLO $gg \rightarrow WW$ with finite m_t

- Background to Higgs decay and anomalous gauge coupling.
- Suppressed by α_s, but relevant at LHC due to high gluon luminosity.
- NLO massless results are available for off-shell $gg \rightarrow VV$. Caola, Henn, et al. 2015; Caola, Melnikov, et al. 2015; Manteuffel and Tancredi 2015; Caola, Melnikov, et al. 2016
- Full m_t dependency is important at high energy region where 1/m_t & threshold expansion breaks down. Melnikov and Dowling 2015; Davies et al. 2020

Analytic calculation is hard.

Evaluate numerically with the imaginary mass method.













NLO $gg \to WW$ with finite m_t Differential equations



Only add an imaginary part to the top propagator

$$\frac{i}{p^2-m_t^2} \rightarrow \frac{i}{p^2-m_t^2+i\eta}$$

Solve the differential equations at each phase space point

$$\frac{\partial I_i(x,s,t)}{\partial x} = \sum_n \frac{M_{ij}^n(\varepsilon,s,t)}{(x-x_n)^{a_n}} I_j(x,s,t)$$

where $x = -i\eta/m_W^2$.

- Determine boundary conditions near $x = \infty$.
- Taylor expand at each finite point $x = x_k$, moving along positive imaginary axis direction.
- Finally we reach x = 0.

NLO $gg \rightarrow WW$ with finite m_t $_{\rm Numerical evaluation}$





Figure: Topologies of the boundary integrals.

- 334 master integrals in 26 topologies.
- More kinds of boundary topologies appear.
- All 6 boundary integrals are known analytically. Gehrmann, Huber, and Maitre 2005
- Typical run time: 10 digits, 1–5 CPU seconds per integral.
- Checked with pySecDec at an unphysical point.



Figure: A typical contour on x plane.

NLO $gg \rightarrow WW$ with finite m_t Preliminary results



$A^{(2)}/A^{(1)}$		ϵ^{-2}	ϵ^{-1}	ϵ^0
LLLL				
N_c	amp	1.0000	18.7405 - 4.4380i	162.6122 - 85.6331i
	pole	1.0000	18.7405 - 4.4380i	-
$1/N_c$	amp	0.0000	1.3762 + 0.0298i	-27.2042 + 1.0664i
	pole	0	1.3762 + 0.0298i	-
LRLL				
N_c	amp	1.0000	19.4950 - 5.5603i	-176.5145 + 106.9308i
	pole	1.0000	19.4950 - 5.5603i	-
$1/N_c$	amp	0.0000	1.0276 + 0.3533i	-21.6031 - 3.8137i
	pole	0	1.0276 + 0.3533i	-

Table: K factor of two helicity amplitudes at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV and $\sqrt{|t|} \approx 71.52$ GeV.

- Divergence cancels with UV counter terms and matches Catani's IR operator.
- Building a grid in phase space, around 1 CPU hour per phase space point to reach above precision on average.

Conclusion



- Imaginary mass method provides an efficient and precise way to evaluate multi-loop integrals.
- Boundary conditions contain only vacuum bubble diagrams. Systematic method to deal with multi-scale problems.
- Capable to evaluate interesting process like $gg \rightarrow WW$ and beyond.
- Thank you!



Backup

Boundary at $\eta = \infty$

For a Feynman integral

$$\begin{split} I &= \int \prod_{i=1}^{L} \frac{d^{d}l_{i}}{(2\pi)^{d}} \prod_{a} \frac{1}{(q_{a}^{2} - m_{a}^{2} + i\eta)^{\nu_{a}}} \\ &\propto \int_{0}^{\infty} \prod_{a} \frac{dx_{a}}{x_{a}} x_{a}^{\nu_{a}} \delta(1-x) U^{-\frac{d}{2}} \left(\frac{F}{U} - i\eta\right)^{L\frac{d}{2}-\nu} \end{split}$$

where
$$x = \sum_a x_a$$
 and $\nu = \sum_a \nu_a$.

• Since $\frac{F}{U}$ is bounded according to graph theory, at $\eta = \infty$

$$\begin{split} \left(\frac{F}{U} - i\eta\right)^{L\frac{d}{2}-\nu} &= (-i\eta)^{L\frac{d}{2}-\nu} \left[1 + \left(\nu - L\frac{d}{2}\right)\frac{F}{Ui\eta} + \cdots\right] \\ &= (-i\eta)^{L\frac{d}{2}-\nu}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\nu - L\frac{d}{2}\right)_n}{n!} \left(\frac{F}{Ui\eta}\right)^n \end{split}$$



Backup

Boundary at $\eta = \infty$

For the leading term

$$I_{n=0} \propto \eta^{L\frac{d}{2}-\nu} \int_{0}^{\infty} \prod_{a} \frac{dx_{a}}{x_{a}} x_{a}^{\nu_{a}} \delta(1-x) U^{-\frac{d}{2}} \left(-i\right)^{L\frac{d}{2}-\nu}$$

which is just a vacuum bubble diagram, with all masses $m^2 = -i$.

Actually every terms correspond to vacuum bubble diagrams

$$I(d,\eta)=I_0^{\mathrm{vac}}(d,\eta)+\eta^{-1}\sum_k c_{1k}I_{1k}^{\mathrm{vac}}(d+2,\eta)+\cdots$$

• The BC of DE with respect to η is simple at $\eta = \infty$!

The vacuum bubbles are well-studied objects.

Davydychev, V. A. Smirnov, and Tausk 1993; Broadhurst 1999; Schroder and Vuorinen 2005; Luthe 2015; Kalmykov and Kniehl 2017



References I



Kotikov, A.V. (1991). "Differential equations method: New technique for massive Feynman diagrams calculation". In: Phys. Lett. B 254, pp. 158–164.
Davydytev, A.I., V. A. Smirnov, and J. B. Tausk (1993). "Large momentum expansion of two loop selfenergy diagrams with arbitrary masses". In: Nucl. Phys. B410, pp. 325–342. arXiv, hep-ph/3907371 [hep-ph].

Revidid, E. (1997). "Differential equations for Feynman graph amplitudes". In: Nuovo Cim. A 110, pp. 1435–1452, arXiv: hep-th/9711188.

Broadhurst, David J. (1999). "Massive three-loop Feynman diagrams reducible to SC* primitives of algebras of the sixth root of unity". In: Eur. Phys. J. C8, pp. 311–333. arXiv: hep-th/9803091 [hep-th].

Smirnov, V. A. (1999). "Analytical result for dimensionally regularized massless on shell double box". In: *Phys. Lett. B* 460, pp. 397–404. arXiv: hep-ph/9905323. Tausk, J. B. (1999). "Nonplanar massless two loop Feynman diagrams with four on-shell legs". In: *Phys. Lett. B* 469, pp. 225–234. arXiv: hep-ph/9909506. Binoth, T. and G. Heinrich (2000). "An automatized algorithm to compute infrared divergent multiloop integrals". In: *Nucl. Phys. B* 585, pp. 741–759. arXiv: hep-ph/0004013.

Gehrmann, T. and E. Remiddi (2000). "Differential equations for two loop four point functions". In: Nucl. Phys. B 580, pp. 485–518. arXiv: hep-ph/9912329. Gehrmann, T., T. Huber, and D. Maitre (2005). "Two-loop quark and gluon form-factors in dimensional regularisation". In: Phys. Lett. B 622, pp. 295–302. arXiv: hep-ph/0507061.

Schroder, Y. and A. Vuorinen (2005). "High-precision epsilon expansions of single-mass-scale four-loop vacuum bubbles". In: JHEP 06, p. 051. arXiv: hep-ph/0503209 [hep-ph].

Czakon, M. (2006). "Automatized analytic continuation of Mellin-Barnes integrals". In: Comput. Phys. Commun. 175, pp. 559–571. arXiv: hep-ph/0511200. Heinrich, G. (2008). "Sector Decomposition". In: Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 23, pp. 1457–1486. arXiv: 0803. 4177 [hep-ph].

Smirnov, A. V. and V. A. Smirnov (2009). "On the Resolution of Singularities of Multiple Mellin-Barnes Integrals". In: Eur. Phys. J. C62, pp. 445–449. arXiv: 0901.0386 [hep-ph].

Henn, Johannes M. (2013). "Multiloop integrals in dimensional regularization made simple". In: Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, p. 251601. arXiv: 1304.1806 [hep-th].

Caola, Fabrizio, Johannes M. Henn, et al. (2015). "Two-loop helicity amplitudes for the production of two off-shell electroweak bosons in gluon fusion". In: JHEP 06, p. 129. arXiv: 1503.08759 [hep-ph].

Caola, Fabrizio, Kirill Melnikov, et al. (2015). "QCD corrections to ZZ production in gluon fusion at the LHC". In: Phys. Rev. D 92.9, p. 094028. arXiv: 1509.06734 [hep-ph].

Lee, Roman N. (2015). "Reducing differential equations for multiloop master integrals". In: JHEP 04, p. 108. arXiv: 1411.0911 [hep-ph].

Luthe, Thomas (2015). "Fully massive vacuum integrals at 5 loops". PhD thesis. Bielefeld U.

Manteuffel, Andreas von and Lorenzo Tancredi (2015). "The two-loop helicity amplitudes for $gg \rightarrow V_1 V_2 \rightarrow 4$ leptons". In: JHEP 06, p. 197. arXiv: 1503.08835 [hep-ph].

Melnikov, Kirill and Matthew Dowling (2015). "Production of two Z-bosons in gluon fusion in the heavy top quark approximation". In: Phys. Lett. B 744, pp. 43–47. arXiv: 1503.01274 [hep-ph].

Ochman, Michal and Tord Riemann (2015). "MBsums - a Mathematica package for the representation of Mellin-Barnes integrals by multiple sums". In: Acta Phys. Polon. B46.11, p. 2117. arXiv: 1511.01323 [hep-ph].

References II



Caola, Fabrizio, Kirill Melnikov, et al. (2016). "QCD corrections to W^+W^- production through gluon fusion". In: Phys. Lett. B 754, pp. 275–280. arXiv: 1511.08617 [hep-ph].

Smirnov, A. V. (2016). "FIESTA4: Optimized Feynman integral calculations with GPU support". In: Comput. Phys. Commun. 204, pp. 189–199. arXiv: 1511.03614 [hep-ph].

- Kalmykov, Mikhail Yu. and Bernd A. Kniehl (2017). "Counting the number of master integrals for sunrise diagrams via the Mellin-Barnes representation". In: JHEP 07, p. 031. arXiv: 1612.06637 [hep-th].
- Borowka, S. et al. (2018). "pySecDec: a toolbox for the numerical evaluation of multi-scale integrals". In: Comput. Phys. Commun. 222, pp. 313–326. arXiv: 1703.09692 [hep-ph].

Davies, Joshua et al. (2020). " $gg \rightarrow ZZ$: analytic two-loop results for the low- and high-energy regions". In: JHEP 04, p. 024. arXiv: 2002.05558 [hep-ph].